

#### Surveillance Reviews vs. Integrated Baseline Reviews (IBRs)

Sam Kitchin, Augur Consulting Dave Ingalls, Augur Consulting Co-Written by J. Greg Smith, Humphreys & Associates

ICEAA 2024 Professional Training & Development Workshop May 14<sup>th</sup>-16<sup>th</sup>

# Introductions

#### Sam Kitchin

- Augur Technical Director
- 10+ Years of Experience
  - Cost, Schedule, and Performance Management (incl. EVM)
  - ICEAA Certified CCEA®
- Have conducted IBRs for both DOE & DOD
  - Monthly EVM Analysis, IBR Training

#### **Dave Ingalls**

- Augur CEO and Co-Founder
- 15+ years providing
  - Cost engineering and schedule analysis services
  - Certified Earned Value Professional by AACE
- Supports DoD and DOE providing expertise on project controls, schedule management, and IBRs





# **Purpose & Background**

- Clarify differences in focus & intent for two types of reviews using Earned Value: IBR and Surveillance
  - Present the value of each type of review and highlight unique considerations
  - Set Program Management expectations to facilitate future efforts
  - Highlight unique considerations
- Background for brief was Department of Energy project review
  - Project hosted each type of review, done in short succession
  - Experience in reviews highlighted differences in purpose

#### Brief focuses on DOE; concepts generally apply to other agencies





# **Common Reviews for EVM**

- Certification Review
  - Evaluation of EVM system implementation against all EIA-748 guidelines
  - Validation of EVMS Description; acceptance applicable to multiple projects
  - Confirmation of system's ability to provide meaningful performance data
  - New projects under certified EVMS, subsequent Implementation Reviews
- Surveillance Review
  - Routine or For-Cause event to confirm continued compliance
  - Focus on high-risk guidelines or previously identified issues
  - Some surveillance activities moving to automated, data-driven approach
  - Integrated Baseline Review (IBR)
    - Joint review to evaluate Performance Measurement Baseline (PMB) plus the sufficiency of risk-based Management Reserves (MR)
    - Establish mutual understanding of technical, schedule, budget baselines
    - Confirm PMB will provide actionable data; classify risks and opportunities
    - Final step is baseline acceptance provides measuring stick for project

Project Review (that uses Earned Value)

Earned Value -Reviews



#### **Other Reviews vs. IBR**

EVMS Review	<ul> <li>PM-30 Control / DCMA</li> <li>Focus on process: Audits/Checklist of how the organization uses the Management System to comply with EVMS guidance</li> <li>Includes Certification &amp; Surveillance Reviews</li> </ul>
EIR	<ul> <li>DOE PM-20 Control; External Independent Review (Gate Review)</li> <li>Focus on validating baseline prior to Congressional commitment</li> <li>Evaluate lifecycle parameters before establishing Gov. Baseline</li> <li>Unique DOE process</li> </ul>
IBR	<ul> <li>Federal Project Director / Project Management Control</li> <li>Focus on risk and executability of <u>Performance Measurement</u> <u>Baseline (PMB)</u></li> <li>Joint assessment of scope, budget, schedule, and risk</li> </ul>

HUMPHREYS ASSOCIATE



# **The EVM Foundational Triangle**

#### Meaningful EVM requires a strong foundation







# **The EVM Foundational Triangle**

#### Meaningful EVM requires a strong foundation



### **Both Parts of the Foundation are Essential**

#### **Good EVMS without a strong PMB**

- Plan & forecast quickly deviate
- Scope may be missing or wrong
- Poor identification of risks
- EVMS does not prevent
   Garbage-In → Garbage-Out

#### A strong PMB without a good EVMS

- Fail to generate timely reporting
- Errors in reporting data/metrics
- Limited insight into root cause
- Creates distrust of EVM data







# **EVM System Description is Keystone**

#### **Surveillance Review**

- Focus is on site
- Is SD compliant with requirements?
- Is the data trustworthy?
- Where are issues?
- What corrective steps are needed?

#### **Integrated Baseline Review**

- Focus is on project
- Does PMB adhere to EVM SD?
- Are EV Techniques properly applied?
- Does the team effectively use EV data?
  - Do CAMs understand SD & use EV?
  - Are cadences well defined?

EVM SD

**Certification Review evaluates SD. For Surveillance Review & IBR, SD is the rulebook** 





#### What is the PMB?







#### What is the PMB?



HUMPHREYS 💫 11 ASSOCIATES



# **Contingency vs. Management Reserve**

- Two terms whose meaning may vary by community or industry
- In general, "Contingency" refers to reserve held by the owner or customer

#### **Government Contingency**

- Held by the DOE and Includes:
  - Cost Contingency
  - Schedule Contingency
- Provides for Contract Scope Changes
- Ensures adequate funds are available for all project work

#### **Contractor's Management Reserve**

- Held by the Contractor and controlled by the Contractor's Project Manager
  - Management Reserve
  - Schedule Margin
- Cannot be used to cover cost overruns
- Used for unforeseen new work that is within the contract scope
- Does not need the Government's approval for use





# **Guides and Documents**

- National Defense Industrial Association (NDIA) Guide to the IBR
  - Comprehensive guide to IBR best practices and processes
  - Describes activities before, during, and after event
- DOE 0 413.3B (currently change 7)
  - Defines DOE EVMS applicability requirements
  - Upcoming open window for new revision
- DFARS clauses require EVM for contracts meeting certain criteria
- EIA-748 (32 Guidelines)
- EVMS Compliance Review Standard Operating Procedure
  - Similar function of DCMA's Earned Value Management System Interpretation Guide (EVMSIG)





#### **DOE Stakeholders**

FPD	Ultimately owns the product and baseline
FPD Support	Conducts integrated baseline reviews
Staff	Delivers findings and artifacts
M&0	Builds EVMS compliant with requirements Develops and executes baseline
DOE Office of	Establish Certification & Surveillance Review process
PM	Performs EVMS Certification & Surveillance Reviews





# **Review Timing**



HUMPHREYS



# **EVMS Surveillance & Certification Deep Dive**

- Focus on effective implementation of an Integrated Project Management system using a compliant Earned Value System
- Uses data-driven approach to evaluate EVMS & Environmental Maturity
- EVMS Maturity = Guideline Compliance & Trustworthy Data
- Environmental Maturity = Value of EVM to support fact-based decision making.





**Environment**: The conditions that enable or limit the ability to manage the project/program using the EVMS, serving as the basis for timely and effective decision making.





#### **Surveillance Review - Scorecard**

<b>REVISIONS 8</b>	A DATA MAINTENANCE (GLs 28-32) Met	ric Frequency: Monthly Quarterly Annual P-IBR Initial > Annual	
Guideline 28:	HR Incorporate Changes in a Timely Manner		
Definition:	Incorporate authorized changes in a timely manner, recording	the effects of such changes in budgets and schedules. In the directed effort prior	
	to negotiation of a change, base such revisions on the amount	estimated and budgeted to the program organizations.	
Attributes:	s: 28X1: Authorized work scope/budget changes are incorporated in the PMB and the Integrated Master Schedule (IMS) as soon as practicable.		
	28X2: UB is distributed to or removed from control accounts of	or SLPP's as quickly as practicable.	
Key Process:	Change Incorporation Cross Process	: NA	
Typical Sources of Objective Evidence:			
a.	Contract Modifications and amended Statement of Work (SOV	V)	
b.	<ul> <li>Baseline change documentation</li> </ul>		
с.	c. Work Breakdown Structure (WBS), Organizational Breakdown Structure (OBS), Responsibility Assignment Matrix (RAM), Work Authorization		
d.	d. Program Change Control Logs		
e.	e. Internal management reports		
f.	f. Internal Contract level authorization (above control account work authorization)		
g.	g. Integrated Program Management Report (IPMR) / Contract Performance Report (CPR)		
h.	Integrated Master Schedule (IMS)		
Attribute:	Verification Points	Documented Application:	
28X1	a. Verify authorized contractual work scope/budget changes	Check (i): Authorized contractual change is documented in work authorization	
	are accurately incorporated in the PMB and the Integrated	documents at the Control Account level.	
	Master Schedule (IMS) as soon as practicable per the system	Sample (i): Exhibit (ai1) showing the contractor capability to associate	
	description.	authorized contractual change to a specific WBS / OBS convergence at the	

Source: NDIA IPMD Surveillance Guide (2021)





#### **IBR Intent**

- Integrated Baseline Review is a *joint review* including Government and Contractor personnel to evaluate the PMB
- The intent of the review is to verify/assess:
  - Integrated Technical, Schedule, & Budget baseline
  - Identification and classification of risks and opportunities
- IBR is a <u>mutual</u> review of the project PMB
  - Ensures common understanding of contractor plan
  - Achievable PMB that will provide actionable EVM data
  - Checks completeness of artifacts
  - Evaluates barriers to execution

#### Fundamentally, an IBR is an evaluation of PMB risk







### **IBR Breakdown**

#### Preparation is critical

- Contractor submits PMB artifacts for government review
- Artifact review may shape event focus or spark critical questions
- Communication is key during preparation stage (IBR Plan)

#### IBR Event

- CAM discussions with in-depth evaluation of cost, schedule, & scope
- Handoffs between CAMs are crucial
- Results & Closeout
  - IBR concludes with Exit Brief and/or Final Report
  - Findings are categorized as Critical, Non-Critical, and Administrative
  - In successful IBR, everyone gains better understanding of PMB & risks



# **Key Components of an IBR**

- IBR focuses on the PMB for a particular project
  - Essential elements occur before and after the actual "event"

**Contractor PMB Submission** 

**Government Artifact Review** 

CAM Discussions

Exit Brief / Final Report





# **Key Components of an IBR**

- IBR focuses on the PMB for a particular project
  - Essential elements occur before and after the actual "event"







### **IBR Scorecard**

CAM Area / Eval. Cat	Technical	Schedule	Resources	Cost	Management Processes
Project Management					
Project Engineering					
Procurement					
Construction (Main Site)					
Construction (Support Facilities)					
Commissioning					

\*Note, CAM Areas & color scores are all nominal; graphic for presentation purposes only





### **IBR Scorecard**

CAM Area / Eval. Cat	Technical	Schedule	Resources	Cost	Management Processes
Project Management					
Project Engineering					
Procurement		Each CAM area receives red/yellow/green score across every evaluation category			
Construction (Main Site)					
Construction (Support Facilities)		But what is scoring criteria?			
Commissioning					

\*Note, CAM Areas & color scores are all nominal; graphic for presentation purposes only





# **Scoring Criteria – Schedule**

#### Low (Green)

- Low risk in adequacy of time required to achieve the project schedule objectives
- Nearly all task plans have reasonable durations, minimal float, and logical work sequence supporting key milestones
- Schedule construction consistent with industry best practices; schedule achieves vertical and horizontal traceability
- Task relationships/functional hand-offs clearly identify program critical path and driving paths to major milestones
   Medium (Yellow)
- Medium risk in adequacy of time required to successfully achieve the project schedule objectives
- Minority of task plans have reasonable durations, demonstrate minimal float, follow a logical work sequence, and support key milestones. However, these deficiencies do not significantly impact schedule milestones or critical path
- Some schedule construction 'warnings', but schedule is capable of forecasting downstream impacts to critical path
   High (Red)
- Inadequate time allocated to achieve the project schedule objectives at an acceptable level of risk
- Significant schedule omissions for contract work scope, task interdependencies, or external dependencies
- Failure to demonstrate adherence to scheduling best practices. No valid critical path to assess schedule risk impacts.





# **Scoring Criteria – Schedule**

#### Low (Green)

- Low risk n adequacy of time required to achieve biectives
- Nearly all task plans have reasonal'
- Schedule construction consist
- Task relationships/function

#### Medium (Yellow)

Medium risk in adequacy of time reg

# Scorecard reflects an assessment of PMB

risk

#### 11édule objectives

equence supporting key milestones

al and horizontal traceability

g paths to major milestones

- Minority of task plans have reasonable durations; component multiplication float, follow a logical work sequence, and support key milestones. However, these deficiencies do not significantly impact schedule milestones or critical path
- Some schedule construction 'warnings', but schedule is capable of forecasting downstream impacts to critical path
   High (Red)
- Inadequate time allocated to achieve the project schedule objectives at an acceptable level of risk
- Significant schedule omissions for contract work scope, task interdependencies, or external dependencies
- Failure to demonstrate adherence to scheduling best practices. No valid critical path to assess schedule risk impacts.

#### 



# **Conducting Reviews**

- Reviews focus on different aspects of project
- Surveillance Review
  - Focus on *process* risk
  - Closure of observed EVMS implementation issues

#### IBR

- Focus on *program* risk
- Risk and executability within PMB
- Schedule and cost achievability
- Assessing if EAC and schedule forecasts are realistic





# Conclusion

Surveillance Reviews and IBRs have different purposes

Surveillance Review	Integrated Baseline Reviews
Evaluate EVMS	Evaluate PMB
PM-30/DCMA leads	FPD/Project Management leads
Focus on site with lead project	Focus on project
Timing is calendar driven	Timing is aligned to project milestones

Ultimately both support meaningful, actionable EVM data

- EVM requires a good plan <u>and</u> a good system
- Initial investment yields long term benefits





Presented at the ICEAA 2024 Professional Development & Training Workshop - www.iceaaonline.com/min2024